





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/920,888	08/03/2001	Brian Davidson	367.40417X00	9821
20457	7590 02/12/2004		EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			ELAHEE, MD S	
SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			2645	
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/200-	- 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/920,888	DAVIDSON ET AL.					
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication con	Md S Elahee	2645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the C	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	• • •						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	· ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120) () ()					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application)					
37 CFR 1.78.a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	eived.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other: .						

Application/Control Number: 09/920,888

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nagasaka (U.S. Patent No. 6,505,252).

Regarding claims 7, 8 and 10, Nagasaka teaches a transmitter for transmitting an identity tag indicative of the identity of the mobile terminal (i.e., portable radio communication device) (col.10, lines 12-31).

Nagasaka further teaches that the computer system 100 (i.e., object device) comprising a receiver, and a processor (fig.1; col.3, lines 51-56, 63-67, col.4, lines 1-14).

Nagasaka further teaches that the system in response to the receiver receiving an identity tag transmitted from a mobile terminal (i.e., portable radio communication device), the processor authorising the downloading of information via the network to a computer system 100 (i.e., remote server or terminal) in accordance with address information associated with the identity tag (fig.1, fig.2; col.3, lines 51-56, 63-67, col.4, lines 1-14, col.10, lines 12-52).

Regarding claim 9, Nagasaka teaches that the mobile terminal (i.e., portable radio communication device) is inherently a passive device (fig.2; col.10, lines 12-52).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/920,888

Art Unit: 2645

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,684,087) and in view of Li et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,345,279).

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 6, Yu teaches a keypad (i.e., sensor) allowing automatic input of data to the cellular phone (i.e., portable radio communication device) (fig.3B; col.4, lines 51-55).

Yu further teaches a client module (i.e., controller) for controlling the device (fig.3B; col.4, lines 49-61).

Yu further teaches a browser which downloads content from the server (fig.3B; col.4, lines 55-59).

Yu further teaches the client module (i.e., controller) responsive to data input via the keypad (i.e., sensor) to control the browser to download content from a server associated with the input data (fig.3B; col.4, lines 51-61).

Yu further teaches account manager (i.e., means) for providing for information personal to a user (fig.4; col.6, lines 11-23).

Application/Control Number: 09/920,888

Art Unit: 2645

Yu fails to teach "contextualisation means which contextualises the downloaded content with the personal information". Li teaches content adaptation process (i.e., contextualisation means) which adapts (i.e., contextualises) the downloaded content with the personal information (fig.3; col.6, lines 3-7, 42-48). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yu to have contextualisation means which contextualises the downloaded content with the personal information as taught by Li. The motivation for the modification is to have doing so in order to personalize the content information.

Regarding claim 3, Yu teaches account manager (i.e., means) for providing for information personal to a user comprises a database (i.e., memory) configured to store the personal information (fig.4; col.6, lines 11-23, 59-67).

Regarding claim 5, Yu teaches keypad (i.e., input means) for inputting inherently personal information by the user (fig.4; col.6, lines 11-23, 59-67).

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,684,087) and in view of Li et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,345,279) and further in view of Holzer (U. S. Pub. No. 2001/0055977).

Regarding claim 4, Yu in view of Li fails to teach "the browser downloading the personal information from a server personal to the user". Holzer teaches the browser downloading the personal information from a server personal to the user (page 4, paragraph 0057). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yu in view of Li to have the browser downloading the personal information from a

Application/Control Number: 09/920,888

Art Unit: 2645

server personal to the user as taught by Holzer. The motivation for the modification is to have

doing so in order to verify connectivity of the selected peripheral device with the particular port.

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu et al. (U. S.

Patent No. 6,684,087) and in view of Holzer (U. S. Pub. No. 2001/0055977).

Regarding claim 11 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to

claim 4.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alam Elahee whose telephone number is (703) 305-4822. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri from 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (703) 305-4895. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

M.E.

MD SHAFIUL ALAM ELAHEE

February 3, 2004

FAM TRANG
SUPERVISORY PAWENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 5

Top